

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

Attached hereto are four (4) sheets of replacement drawings that comply with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.84. The replacement drawings incorporate the drawing changes to Figs. 2a, 2b, 3, 4, 5 and 6 that were discussed during the personal interview.

It is respectfully requested that the replacement drawings be approved and made a part of the record of the above-identified application.

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully requested. Claims 1-6, 8-16, 18-27, 29-37, 39-44 are pending in the application, with claim 1, 11, 22, 32, and 43 being independent. Claims 45 and 46 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, and their subject matter has been incorporated into independent claim 43.

Interview Summary

Applicants' representative would like to thank the Examiner, Madeleine Nguyen, for the personal interview that was conducted on February 17, 2005. During the interview, Applicants' representative discussed the distinctions between the present invention and the cited art and proposed amendments to the claims and the drawings. The Examiner acknowledged that these amendments defined over the cited art, namely Kumada (US 6,337,922).

Drawings

Applicants submit herewith replacement figures, which incorporate the changes as discussed during the personal interview. These replacement figures do not add any new subject matter.

Substitute Specification

Applicants submit herewith replacement paragraphs for the

specification, which incorporate the changes that were made to the drawings. Applicants respectfully submit that the amendments to the specification do not add any new subject matter.

Claim Rejections Under 35 USC §103

The Examiner rejected: claims 1-3, 5-6, 11-13, 15-16, 18, 22-24, 26-27, 29-34, 36-37, and 39-46 under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kumada* (US 6,337,922); claims 8-10 and 19-21 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kumada* and further in view of *Hibi* (US 5,359,437); and claims 4, 14, 25, 35 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kumada* and further in view of *Agarwal et al* (US 6,509,910). These rejections are respectfully traversed insofar as they pertain to the presently pending claims.

Applicants have amended the claims and the drawings in an effort to clarify that the conversion process of converting the original image data into the second color space is performed solely by the monitor, projector, or printer. The Examiner agreed that these amendments define over the teachings of *Kumada* (see the Interview Summary Sheet).

Claims 2-10, 12-21, 23-31, and 33-44 are dependent claims, which should be considered allowable at least for depending from an allowable base claim.

Accordingly, in view of the above discussion, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejections.

Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, this application appears to be in condition for allowance and the Examiner is, therefore, requested to reexamine the application and pass the claims to issue.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Martin Geissler (Reg. 51,011) at telephone number (703) 205-8000, which is located in the Washington, DC area.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By 

Michael K. Mutter, Reg.#29,680

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000

MKM/MRG:tm
1190-0461P

Attachment: Substitute Specification
 Marked-up Version of the Original Specification
 Replacement Drawings (6 Sheets)